

#### Board of County Commissioners Agenda Request



Requested Meeting Date: November 24, 2015

**Title of Item:** Community Corrections Options

REGULAR AGENDA	Action Requested:	✓ Direction Requested
CONSENT AGENDA	Approve/Deny Motion	Discussion Item
INFORMATION ONLY	Adopt Resolution (attach drawn *provide*	aft) Hold Public Hearing* e copy of hearing notice that was published
Submitted by: Nathan Burkett, County Administrator		Department: Administration
Presenter (Name and Title):  Estimated Time Needed: 30 Min		
Summary of Issue:  Crow Wing County's withdrawal has caused an opportunity for Aitkin County to consider options related to delivery of probation services. There are 3 delivery systems for probation, the basics of which will be presented to the Board.  The County Administrator recommends that Aitkin County seek to continue as a CCA, either as a joint powers with Morrison or other counties, or as a stand-alone (dependent upon legislative action).  Administration recommends preparing in such a manner that we expect either through a joint powers or through CCA legislation that we have a "stand alone" Aitkin County Probation department. This means we will establish all necessary support and structures, strive for legislative changes, and maintain a fall back position to engage in a joint powers.  Administration is seeking direction from the County Board on the following matters:  1. what is the preferred delivery system for Aitkin County (CCA, CPO or DOC)?  2. Does the aitkin county board support seeking a change to legislation to allow Aitkin County to proceed as a CPA independently?  3. Does the County Board support the processes to determine and establish the delivery systems for probation in Aitkin County after July 1, 2016.		
Recommended Action/Motion: Support addition to AMC legislative platform removal of language requiring a minimum 30,000 population to deliver probation services as a Community Corrections Act County. Support requesting special legislation to allow Aitkin County to continue as a CCA County, regardless of population.  Financial Impact: Is there a cost associated with this request? Is there a cost associated with tax and shipping? Is this budgeted?  Yes  No  Please Explain:		



### Minnesota Probation Delivery – The County's Role

Ryan Erdmann
Public Safety Analyst
&
MACCAC Director

February 2015





- Department of Corrections (DOC) Contract
- Community Corrections Act (CCA)
- County Probation Officer (CPO)



### Three Delivery Systems

MENNESCHE MENNESCHE GERSTIEN



CCA - M.S. Chapter 401

**CPO -** M.S244.19

DOC Contract - M.S. 244.20



### DOC Contract

- In 28 counties, the DOC provides misdemeanant & juvenile supervision under contract with the county.
- DOC also supervises the felons in these counties, the is not part of the contract.

### Other DOC Services

- 27 CPO counties, adult felon supervision
- 75 counties, Intensive supervised release (ISR) supervision
- 82 counties, CIP supervision



## Funding in DOC Counties

### DOC Contract

 The state, through CPO reimbursement, provides for a portion of the counties costs for this contract.

### Other DOC Services

 Felony supervision and intensive supervised release is paid for by the state.



# Community Corrections Act (CCA)

### Community Corrections Act (CCA)

- The Community Corrections Act of 1973 allows counties to provide all community supervision services in the county
  - Community Supervision is probation and supervised release
  - CCA jurisdictions supervise adults and juveniles at all offense levels
- There are 32 counties organized in 17 jurisdictions participating in CCA (33 counties with the addition of Sherburne on July 1, 2015).
- Statute requires that counties have a population of at least 30,000 or be part of joint powers entity with a combined population of at least 30,000 in order to participate in CCA.



### Funding in CCA Counties

#### CCA Subsidy

- Formula driven funding stream is the primary source of state support for CCA counties.
- Available funds for this are determined by the legislature and have been nearly flat for over a decade.
- Components of the formula include case filings (felony, gross misdemeanor, and juvenile), population (ages 10-24), convicted felons not sent to prison, and adjusted net tax capacity.
- The formula used 3 year averages and the data is updated every other year.

#### Other Revenue Sources

 Additional state grants for Caseload/Workload Reduction, Felony Supervision and Enhanced Sex Offender Supervision.



# County Probation Officer (CPO)

### County Probation Officer (CPO)

- MN Statutes 244.19 authorizes counties to supervise juveniles, adult misdemeanor and adult gross misdemeanor offenders on behalf of the court.
- Court services staff are employed by the county, but are under the supervision of the local judge.
- There are 27 counties organized as CPO Counties (26 after the Sherburne County transition).



## Funding in CPO Counties

### · CPO Reimbursement

- Statute provides that the state will reimburse 50% of probation officer salaries for those employed by CPO counties.
- Funding level is determined by the legislature and statue directs that the reimbursement be pro-rated if the funding is not sufficient for full reimbursement.
- Currently state funds cover roughly 30% of costs for those employees.

### Other Revenue Sources

CPO counties also receive a caseload/workload reduction grant.



County Board Authority

 County Boards have the authority to choose which probation delivery system model to choose based on local needs.

 Other stakeholders like judges, county attorney, etc. should be included in any discussion of change, but the decision ultimately rests with the Board.

#### Transition

 No Board action is required on an ongoing basis if there is no change planned.

 If a county wishes to transition to a different model, the decision must be made in July of an even numbered year, with transition occurring on July 1 of the subsequent year.



- Ryan Erdmann AMC and MACCAC Staff
  - 651-789-4345
  - erd mann@mncounties.org